

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CY

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,263	10/31/2001	Robert Olsen	ITW-13410	3925
75	90 01/29/2004		EXAMI	NER
Pauley Peterson Kinne & Eriksonn Suite 365 2800 W. Higgins Road Hoffman Estates, IL 60195			MOHANDESI, JILA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/004,263	OLSEN ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jila M Mohandesi	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviral rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica atimely filed amendment which	ntion. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set of the control of th	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	.			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					
	JILA M. MOHANDESI	Dr. H.N			
	PRIMARY EXAMINER	Jila M Mohandesi Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3728			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 10. Other: The amendments to claims 8 and 14 raise new issues in those claims requiring further review and consideration. .